

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,322	08/21/2003	Eugene A. Gregerson	3349.1004-001	7578	
21005	21005 7590 03/06/2006			EXAMINER	
	N, BROOK, SMITH &	KEANEY, ELIZA	KEANEY, ELIZABETH MARIE		
	530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133		2882		

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>, H'</u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/645,322	GREGERSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elizabeth Keaney	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSTRUMENT OF THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-47 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/14/06</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 February 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasche et al. (US Patent 6,619,840; hereinafter Rasche) in view of Sugihara et al. (US Patent 6,990,170; hereinafter Sugihara).

Rasche discloses, in figures 7 and 8A and throughout the disclosure, an imaging apparatus comprising:

 a generally O-shaped gantry (11a) having a radiation source (111) and a detector (112) operable to obtain images of an object (P) positioned inside the gantry; Application/Control Number: 10/645,322 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

a support structure (11);

 a gantry positioning apparatus that translates the gantry to multiple positions in a direction substantially parallel to the central axis of the gantry (column 4, lines 12-13); and

 a processor (126) which receives imaging data from the detector obtained at multiple gantry positions, and combines the data to extend the field of view of the imaging system in the direction of gantry translation (column 5, lines 2-10).

However, Rasche fails to teach or fairly suggest the gantry positioning apparatus that secures the gantry to the supports structure in a cantilevered manner.

Sugihara discloses, in figures 1A,2,4A and throughout the disclosure, a generally O-shaped gantry (210,260) secured to a support (110) in a cantilevered manner (130), wherein the gantry is translated to multiple positions in a direction substantially parallel to the central axis of the gantry to produce imaging data from the multiple positions (column 4, lines 49-58 and column 5, lines 21-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to secure the gantry of Rasche in a cantilevered manner because it reduces the footprint of the device and improves access to the patient during imaging.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-18 and 20-47 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 2882

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: as set forth in the previous office actions.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Keaney whose telephone number is (571)272-2489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571)272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Keaney Examiner

EDWARD J. GLICK Art Unit 2882